



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/893,332

06/27/2001

Scott Swix

60027.0017USU1/BS01039

2021

39262

7590

11/10/2008

MERCHANT & GOULD BELL SOUTH CORPORATION

P.O. BOX 2903

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2443

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/10/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/893,332	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SWIX ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ASGHAR BILGRAMI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2443	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ASGHAR BILGRAMI. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Ryan Davidson. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney pointed out that Rodriquez (U.S.6,760,918) used in the previous rejection was not an applicable because applicant's filing date has priority over this art. Examiner concured with applicant's attorney and agreed to vacate the previous Final-office action dated (08/1/2008) and issue a new Non-final rejection on this application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Asghar Bilgrami/ Examiner, Art Unit 2443	/Tonia LM Dollinger/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2143
----------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------